HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.18678 OF 2006

Dated:22.09.2006

Shabana Begum

…Petitioner

The Station House Officer,
S.R.Nagar, Hyderabad
and five others.

…Respondents

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.18678 OF 2006

ORAL ORDER: ***(per the Hon'ble Sri Justice D.S.R.Varma)***

Heard the learned Government Pleader for Home, representing the learned Advocate General, appearing for the respondents.

2. This writ petition is taken up on the basis of a petition, dated 28.08.2006, sent to the Hon'ble the Chief Justice, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, by one Shabana Begum, Arshia Begum and Heena Begum, residents of

Sanathnagar, Hyderabad, stating *inter alia* about the killing of their mother by their father, registering a case in Crime No.284 of 2006 against their father and others by Malkajgiri Police on 30.06.2006. It is further alleged that their father is threatening to kill their maternal grandparents and they are being forced to give evidence in his favour and that they are apprehending danger to their lives as they would be kidnapped from their school by their father.

3. After receiving notice from this Court, the first respondent-Sub-Inspector of Police, Sanjeevareddy Nagar Police Station, Hyderabad, who is the Investigating Officer, filed counter-affidavit, stating, *inter alia,* that one Mr.Syed Yousuf, Son of Late Syed Gulam Rasool, resident of Czeach Colony, Sanathnagar, Hyderabad, maternal grandfather of the petitioners herein, had filed a private complaint under Section-200 Cr.P.C., before the XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad, alleging that his son-in-law and others killed his daughter i.e., the mother of the petitioners. Upon such a complaint, the Magistrate forwarded it to the Police on 19.08.2006. Basing on the said complaint, the Police registered a case in Crime No.728 of 2006 for the offences punishable under Sections-406 and 506 read with 34 I.P.C.

4. It is further stated that in this connection, some cited witnesses were examined and their statements were also recorded.

5. Regarding the apprehension expressed by the petitioners that they would be kidnapped by their father, it is stated in the counter-affidavit of the first respondent that

the residence of the father of the petitioners comes under the limits of second respondent Police Station i.e., Malkajgiri Police Station and that necessary protection as and when required would be extended to the petitioners.

6. An undertaking is also given in the counter-affidavit to the effect that the Police are ready and willing to provide police protection as and when the petitioners require.

7. In view of the pendency of criminal case and investigation thereon is in progress and also in the light of the undertaking given by the Police to provide necessary protection to the petitioners, as and when required, we do not feel any necessity to continue the writ petition any longer and the same is liable to be closed.

8. Accordingly, the writ petition is closed, at the stage of admission.

D.S.R.VARMA, J

P.SWAROOP REDDY, J

22.09.2006
DR